IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
v.	\$ 21-1-03
	§ CIVIL ACTION NO.: 21-603
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F.K.A.	
THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	§
CORPORATION AND, WHITE	§
LODGING CORPORATION,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

DEFENDANTS' JOINT NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Defendants White Lodging Corporation ("WLC") and TK Elevator Corporation, formerly known as ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation ("TKE") (WLC and TKE are collectively referred to as "Defendants"), file this Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) and respectfully show the Court the following:

Procedural Background

1. On or about June 4, 2021, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff's Original Petition in the matter styled Jacqueline Nelson-Burke v. TK Elevator Corporation f/k/a Thyssenkrupp Elevator Corporation and White Lodging Corporation; Cause No. D-1-GN-21-002622; in the 200th Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas, in which Plaintiff makes a claim for personal injuries as a result of an elevator incident that occurred on June 24, 2019. WLC received the citation and petition on June 10, 2021. TKE received the citation and petition on June 8, 2021.

Defendants file this Notice of Removal within the thirty-day time period required by 28 U. S. C. § 1446(b).

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of Matters Being Filed. A copy of the Travis County Clerk's file for this case is attached as Exhibit "B", which includes true and correct copies of all executed process, pleadings and orders, and a copy of Defendants' Original Answers. Attached hereto as Exhibit "C" is the Designation of Counsel.

Basis for Removal

- 3. Removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1). There is complete diversity of citizenship.
- 4. Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the State of New Jersey. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, page 1.
- 5. WLC is a citizen of the State of Indiana for diversity purposes as it is incorporated in Indiana and its principal place of business is in Indiana.
- 6. TKE is a citizen of Delaware and Georgia for diversity purposes as it is incorporated in Delaware and its principal place of business is in Georgia.
- 7. The amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of this court. Plaintiff's Original Petition clearly states that Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of \$1,000,000.00. See Plaintiff's Original Petition, Paragraph 6 under Jurisdiction and Venue. This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

The Removal is Procedurally Correct

8. WLC was first served with the petition on June 10, 2021. TKE received the citation and petition on June 8, 2021. Defendants file this Notice of Removal within the 30-day

time period required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(b).

9. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this district and

division embrace the place in which the removed action has been pending and because a

substantial part of the events giving rise to the Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this

district.

10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings

in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendants file this Notice,

written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiff, the adverse party.

12. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal

will be filed with the Clerk of Travis County promptly after Defendants file this Notice.

13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446, Defendants join in and consent to this removal.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON COE COUSINS & IRONS, LLP

By: /s/ David A. McFarland

David A. McFarland

Texas State Bar No. 00791223

Tim Headley

Texas State Bar No. 24007334

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Fax: (214) 871-8209

dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com

theadley@thompsoncoe.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

WHITE LODGING CORPORATION

DEFENDANTS' JOINT NOTICE OF REMOVAL 9515385v1

MAYER LLP

By: /s/ Alan L. Rucker

Alan L. Rucker Texas State Bar No. 00788330

750 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 379-6900 Fax: (214) 379-6939 arucker@mayerllp.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F.K.A THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 7th day of July, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Plaintiff's counsel of record by electronic notice and/or certified mail return receipt requested:

William G. Rossick THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, P.C. 11606 North Interstate Highway 35 Austin, TX 78753

Phone: (512) 346-5688 Fax: (512) 719-4362

Email: wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/Tim Headley

David A. McFarland Tim Headley

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

§	
§	
§	- .
§	
_	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	<i>®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®®</i>

INDEX OF MATTERS BEING FILED

Pursuant to Local Rule 81, of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, the following is an index of matters being filed in this case:

- 1. Exhibit B: A copy of the Travis County District Clerk's file for this case, including:
 - a. Docket Sheet
 - b. Plaintiff's Original Petition
 - c. Issued Citation to TK Elevator Corporation;
 - d. Issued Citation to White Lodging Services Corporation;
 - e. Executed Return of Service of TK Elevator Corporation;
 - f. Executed Return of Service of White Lodging Services Corporation; and
 - g. Defendant White Lodging Corporation's Original Answer, Jury Demand and Request for Disclosure.
 - h. Defendant TK Elevator Corporation F.K.A. Thyssenkrupp Elevator Corporation's Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition







Civil Court Fees and Fines

You are here: Home District Clerk Online Case Information

Online Case Information

Loading Online Case Information...

Details

Updated: Wednesday, July 7, 2021 4:35:06 AM

New Search (/OnlineCaseInformationWeb/)

Request Documents (https://www.traviscountytx.gov/district-clerk/records-request)

NELSON BURKE

JASCQUELINE

Cause Number D-1-GN-21-002622

Case Status PENDING

Style NELSON BURKE VTL ELEVATOR

Filed Date 6/4/2021 Hearing Date --

Attorney Type Full/Business Name First Name Middle Name Last Name

DEFENDANT TKELEVATOR

CORPORATION

ROSSICK WILLIAM G. PLAINTIFF

MCFARLAND DAVID DEFENDANT WHITE LODGING

ADAIR SERVICES

CORPORATION

Event Date Party Type Description 6/23/2021 DF ANSWER/RESPONSE 6/15/2021 DF **EXECUTED SERVICE** 6/9/2021 DF **EXECUTED SERVICE** 6/7/2021 DF ISS:CITATION 6/7/2021 DF ISS:CITATION 6/4/2021 PL ORIGINAL PETITION/APPLICATION

New Search (/OnlineCaseInformationWeb/)

TAX RATE: TRAVIS COUNTY ADOPTED A TAX RATE THAT WILL RAISE MORE TAXES FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS THAN LAST YEAR'S TAX RATE. THE TAX RATE WILL EFFECTIVELY BE RAISED BY 3.6 PERCENT AND WILL RAISE TAXES FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS ON A \$100,000 HOME BY APPROXIMATELY \$11.00.



6/4/2021 8:25 AM

Velva L. Price District Clerk Travis County D-1-GN-21-002622 Victoria Benavides

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff	§	and the state of the
	§	
vs.	§	
	§	
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION f.k.a.	§ .	of TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	§	,
CORPORATION and,	§	
WHITE LODGING CORPORATION	Š	
Defendants	§	200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THIS COURT:

COMES NOW, JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE, Plaintiff, complaining of Defendants, TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION (formerly known as "THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION") and WHITE LODGING CORPORATION and for cause of action shows:

A. Discovery Plan

1. Pursuant to TEXAS RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 190.1, Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery in this case under Level 3 as prescribed by TEXAS RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 190.4.

B. Parties

- JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE, Plaintiff, is an individual who resides in Essex County, New Jersey.
- 3. Defendant, TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION, formerly known as THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION, is a foreign corporation duly organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware, is registered to do business in Texas, and

may be served at its registered agent: The Prentice-Hall Corporation System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

4. Defendant, WHITE LODGING SERVICES CORPORATION, is a foreign corporation duly organized and existing pursuant to the laws of Indiana and may be served at its registered agent: CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201-3136.

C. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 5. As a general matter, Plaintiff's counsel believes that the amount of damages to be awarded to a claimant is strictly within the province of the jury. The damages sought by Plaintiff in this case won't be measured by a specific dollar amount as much as they are based on the collective wisdom of a jury. Indeed, the jury will be reminded that it is solely up to them to award intangible damages for all applicable non-economic damages. The jury will also be reminded of the value that we as free people of the United States of America place on human life and our right to be free from pain and suffering and to pursue happiness however we see fit.
- 6. Despite all of the foregoing, and despite the many objections lodged by both the defense bar and the plaintiff bar, the rules now provide that a plaintiff must state how much money the plaintiff is seeking in a given suit. Therefore, due to the new amended rules, effective January 1, 2021, and pursuant to TEXAS RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 47(c)(5), Plaintiff hereby states that she is seeking monetary relief of over one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).
- 7. Pursuant to Section 15.002(a)(1) of the TEXAS RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, venue is proper in Travis County because it is the county in which all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred.
- 8. The court has jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants conduct business in the State of Texas and the cause of action asserted herein arise out of these contacts.

E. Facts

- 9. This lawsuit results from an injury in an elevator manufactured and maintained by TK Elevator Corporation. This incident occurred in Travis County, Texas on Monday, June 24, 24, 2019 between 12:30 pm to 1:00 pm local time. On that day, JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE, Plaintiff, was a guest and business invitee staying at the JW Marriott Austin hotel, 110 E. 2nd Street, Austin, Texas 78701 ("JW Austin") in Room #2319. Plaintiff was attending a work event at the JW Austin entitled Solar Power Texas.
- 10. Upon taking care of a package delivery charge in the business office on the 5th Floor of the JW Austin, Plaintiff entered elevator #M3, pressed the number 4, and intended to ride that elevator to the work event on the 4th Floor. Upon do so, the clevator door closing was unusually delayed. Thereafter, the door on elevator #M3 abruptly shut, the car began violently bouncing, and the car's interior lights turned off, including the floor number indication. Plaintiff repeatedly pushed both the "HELP" and "OPEN DOOR" button, but there was no response while the elevator continued to bounce. The elevator then quickly ascended twice causing Plaintiff to lose her footing falling to the floor while attempting to hold onto the car railing. The elevator continued bouncing for almost 5 minutes when Plaintiff heard, over her screams for help, what sounded to be a pre-record message stating "JW Marriott, Please Hold."
- operator's voice finally came across the intercom of elevator #M3. Plaintiff was holding onto the elevator's railing for dear life when the live operator asked Plaintiff a scrics of seemingly scripted questions such as: "Hello is there an emergency?... Are you stuck in the elevator?... Can you open the door?... What floor are you on?" Plaintiff responded to all the live operator's questions, and the elevator car finally stopped its violent motions. The elevator's car door then

opened, the elevator floor indicator showed that the car was on the 5th floor with the arrow pointing down, but when Plaintiff stepped out of the elevator, she was actually on the 3rd floor. Plaintiff then sought help from her work colleagues and called for medical assistance. Plaintiff was treated on the scene by EMS and then she was taken to a local hospital for her injuries sustained in this incident.

F. Negligence of TK Elevator Corporation

- 12. One who undertakes the control and repair of another's elevators is charged with the duty to exercise ordinary care to maintain the elevators in a condition of reasonable safety for use, whether the undertaking party is operating under a contract with the building owner and/or occupier or not.
- 13. In this instance, Defendant WHITE LODGING CORPORATION ("WHITE LODGING") was the management company who occupied and operated the overall premises of the JW Austin. A contract existed between Defendant TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION (TK ELEVATOR) and WHITE LODGING whereby TK ELEVATOR agreed to undertake the responsibility of keeping the elevator systems in proper adjustment and safe operating condition for the invitees of the JW Austin.
- 14. TK ELEVATOR failed to act as a reasonable and prudent elevator maintenance compony in that it:
 - a) Did not properly maintain and repair elevator #M3 at the JW Austin with the result being an elevator malfunction causing the agonizing and unnecessary injuries to Plaintiff.
 - b) Failed to act as a reasonable and prudent elevator maintenance company in the service and inspection of clevator #M3.
 - c) Failed to warn plaintiff about the condition of elevator #M3.

- 15. In addition, the condition of elevator #M3 created an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff and TK ELEVATOR knew of the condition or should have known of the condition. Further pleading, Plaintiff at all times was unaware of the dangerous condition.
- 16. The functioning of the elevator as experienced by Plaintiff was such that it would not ordinarily occur in the absence of negligence and the instrumentality causing the accident was under control of TK ELEVATOR. But for TK ELEVATOR's failure to properly maintain and service elevator #M3, the incident would not have occurred. The fact that the event occurred gives rise to the presumption that the elevator was not properly serviced and maintained by TK ELEVATOR.
- 17. Each of the aforementioned negligent acts or omissions of Defendant, TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION, constituted a proximate cause of the incident and of the resulting damages and injuries to Plaintiff.

G. Negligence of White Lodging Corporation

- 18. In the abundance of caution, and in the event that TK ELEVATOR claims WHITE LODGING failed to timely notify TK ELEVATOR of the need to inspect, maintain and/or repair elevator #M3, WHITE LODGING failed to act as a reasonable property manager of the premises in which elevator #M3 is located in that it:
 - a) Failed to properly report problems with elevator #M3 or schedule maintenance with TK ELEVATOR.
 - b) Failed to act as a reasonable and prudent occupier and manager of the premises in reporting problems with elevator #M3.
 - c) Failed to report elevator problems to or schedule maintenance with TK ELEVATOR.

- 19. In addition, the condition of elevator #M3 created an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff and WHITE LODGING knew of the condition or should have known of the condition. Further pleading, Plaintiff at all times was unaware of the dangerous condition.
- 21. Each of the aforementioned negligent acts or omissions of Defendant, WHITE LODGING CORPORATION, constituted a proximate cause of the incident and of the resulting damages and injuries to Plaintiff.

H. Strict Liability of TK Elevator Corporation

- 22. Elevator #M3 was a model TAC 50-04 that was designed, manufactured, assembled, installed and placed into the stream of commerce by TK ELEVATOR. Elevator #M3 possessed defects that rendered it unreasonably dangerous for its reasonable, foreseeable uses.
- 23. TK ELEVATOR had a duty to design, manufacture, construct, formulate, develop standards, prepare, process, assemble, install, inspect, test, list, certify, warn, instruct and market the elevator in question in such a safe manner as to avoid causing the serious injuries of Plaintiff or members of the general public.
- 24. TK ELEVATOR should be held strictly liable for each of the defects, all of which either singular or concurrently, were the producing or proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries.
- 25. A safer alternative design existed at the time the clevator #M3 was designed, manufactured, marketed, and sold by TK ELEVATOR that would have made the elevator safer. This safer alternative, if incorporated into the elevator, would have prevented or significantly reduced the risk of Plaintiff's injuries, without substantially impairing the product's utility as is evidenced by other elevators currently utilizing the safer alternatives. Furthermore, the safer alternative design was economically and technologically feasible at the time the elevator left the

control of TK ELEVATOR, by the application of existing or reasonably achievable scientific knowledge.

26. Plaintiff, therefore, invokes the Doctrine of Strict Liability, Section 402A, Restatement (Second) of Torts, as adopted by the Supreme Court of Texas.

I. Damages

- 27. Plaintiff would show that, as a direct and proximate and/or producing cause of the above-mentioned acts and omissions of the Defendants, she sustained significant personal injuries and damages, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Past and future physical pain;
 - b. Past and future mental anguish;
 - Past and future medical expenses;
 - d. Past and future lost wages;
 - e. Past and future physical impairment;
 - f Past and future disfigurement; and
 - f. Past and future loss of enjoyment of life.

J. Interest and Costs

28. Plaintiff seeks the recovery of pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest as authorized by law. Plaintiff also seeks recovery for all costs of court.

K. Demand for Jury and Court Reporter

29. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury to resolve all fact issues in this case and tenders the appropriate fee. Plaintiff respectfully requests that a court reporter attend all sessions of court in connection with this case, and that the court reporter take full notes of the voir dire, all testimony offered, together with any and all.

L. Designated E-Service E-Mail Address

30. The following is the undersigned attorney's designated-Service e-mail address for all e-served documents and notices, filed and unfiled, pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 21(f)(2) & 21(a): wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com. This is the undersigned's only E-Service e-mail address, and service through any other e-mail address will be consider invalid.

M. Conditions Precedent

31. All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's claim for relief have been performed or have occurred.

N. Conclusion and Prayer for Relief

- 32. For these reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court issue citation for Defendants to appear and answer and that, upon a final trial of this cause, Plaintiff he granted a judgment against Defendants, jointly and/or severally, for the following:
 - a. Actual damages as alleged herein and/or proven at trial;
 - Pre-judgment interest from the date of injury through the date of judgment, at the maximum rate allowed by law;
 - c. Post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;
 - d. Costs of court; and
 - e. Any and all such other and further relief, whether in law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, P.C.

11606 North Interstate Highway 35

Austin, TX 78753

Fione: (512) 346-5688 Fax: (512) 719-4362

William G. Rossick

Texas Bar No. 00789597

wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com

Willia GO Con

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

William Rossick on behalf of William Rossick Bar No. 789597 wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com Envelope ID: 54093392 Status as of 6/7/2021 5:28 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Carlos Rodriguez		crodriguez@carlsonattomeys.com	6/4/2021 8:25:40 AM	SENT
Monica Nguyen	·	mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com	6/4/2021 8:25:40 AM	SENT

CITATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE , Plaintiff TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION FKA THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION AND WHITE LODGING CORPORATION TO: TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION 5/K/A THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT THE PRENTICE- HALL CORPORATI ON SYSTEM 211 E 7TH ST STE 620 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701 Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause: YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org." Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION of the PLAINTIFF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on JUNE 4,2021 in the 200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas. ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, June 07, 20 REQUESTED BY: WILLIAM G. ROSSICK 11606 N INTERSTATE 35 AUSTIN, TX 78753 Velva L. Price Travis County District Clerk Travis County Courthouse BUSINESS PHONE: (512) 346-5688 FAX: (512) 719-4362 1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767) Austin, TX 78701 PREPARED BY: VICTORIA BENAVIDES - RETURN -- - - - - - - - - - -Came to hand on the ____ day of ____ o'clock ____M., and executed at within the County of _____ on the day of ____, at _____ o'clock ___M., by delivering to the within named ____, each in person, a true copy of this citation together with the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION accompanying pleading, having first attached such copy of such citation to such copy of pleading and endorsed on such copy of citation the date of delivery. Service Fee: \$ ___ Sheriff / Constable / Authorized Person Sworn to and subscribed before his the Printed Name of Server

D-1-GN .:1-L:2622

Notary Public, The STATE OF TEXAS

SERVICE FEE NOT PAID

P01 - 000107478

County, Texas

CITATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE

. Plaintiff

TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION FKA THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION AND WHITE LODGING CORPORATION

Defendant

TO: WHITE LODGING SERVICES CORPORATION BY SERVING THROUGH IT'S REGISTERED AGENT CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 1999 BRYAN STREET SUITE 900 AUSTIN, TEXAS 75201-3136

Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause:

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org."

Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION of the PLAINTIFF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on JUNE 4,2021 in the 200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, June 07, 2021.

REQUESTED BY:

WILLIAM G. ROSSICK 11606 N INTERSTATE 35 AUSTIN, TX 78753

BUSINESS PHONE: (512) 346-5688 FAX: (512) 719-4362

Velva L. Price

Travis County District Clerk Travis County Courthouse

1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767)

Austin, TX 78701

	PREP	ARED BY: VICTORIA BENAVIDES
Came to hand on the	RETURN _, 202 (_ at _ 703 oʻclock _	P_M., and executed at
	within the County of	on the day of
at o'dock	M.,	
by delivering to the within named		, each in person, a true copy of this citation
together with the PLAINTIFFS ORIGINAL PETITION	accompanying pleading, having first	attached such copy of such citation to such copy of pleading
and endorsed on such copy of citation the date of deli	very.	
Service Fee: \$		Sheriff / Constable / Authorized Person
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the		Sheriii / Constable / Admonzed Person
Sworn to and subscribed belove the this the		•
		Ву:
day of		
	•	Printed Name of Server
NAME OF THE OTHER OF TEVE		County, Texas
Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS		
D-1-GN-21-002622	M-1410 - SAFRVICE FEEN	OT PAIP / C/ 707 / D PO 000107479

*By US.P.S. 1020-1810-000-1410-5066, mailed on 6-8-2021 RRR

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

Velva L. Price District Clerk Travis County D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE	§	IN THE DISTRICT COUNGING Ybarra
Plaintiff	Ş	
	Ş	
VS.	S	
_	S	
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION f.k.a	. §	of TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	Š	
CORPORATION and,	Š	
WHITE LODGING CORPORATION	Š	
Defendants	Š	200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
·ya·	***	MODA (CONC.) PRODE

RETURN

Came to hand on the 7th day of June 2021, at 7:03 p.m.:

Citation, with Plaintiff's Original Petition, and Lawyer Referral Service form.

Executed on the 8th day of June 2021, at 2:10 p.m. at 211 E. 7th Street, Ste. 620, Austin, Texas 78701, within the County of Travis, by delivering to the within named Defendant TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F/K/A THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION by delivering to its Registered Agent, PRENTICE-HALL CORPORATION SYSTEM, by delivering in person to Jim Herriman, employee designated to receive process for the registered agent, a true and correct copy of the above specified civil process, having first endorsed thereupon the came to hand date and time and the date of delivery.

My name is Tod E. Pendergrass. My date of birth is December 2, 1964. My address is 516 West Annie, Austin, Texas 78704, United States of America. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and not a party to or interested in the outcome of this case. I am certified to serve Texas civil court process by the JBCC under Order of the Texas Supreme Court, Certification No. PSC1660, Exp. 11/30/21. I am personally acquainted with the facts stated herein and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

This Return was executed in Travis County, Texas, U.S.A., on June 8, 2021.

Tod E. Pendergrass
Printed Name

Declarant (signature)
Re: Carlson/9032-1

Service Fee \$ 80.00

DRLS 516 West Annie St. Austin, Texas 78704

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Monica Nguyen on behalf of William Rossick Bar No. 789597 mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com Envelope ID: 54233713 Status as of 6/9/2021 11:23 AM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Carlos Rodriguez		crodriguez@carlsonattorneys.com	6/9/2021 8:38:09 AM	SENT
Monica Nguyen		mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com	6/9/2021 8:38:09 AM	SENT
William Rossick		wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com	6/9/2021 8:38:09 AM	SENT

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

Velva L. Price District Clerk Travis County

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE Plaintiff	11.11.
VS.	20.00
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION f.k.a. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	an an an
CORPORATION and, WHITE LODGING CORPORATION	35.35
Defendants	Ş

IN THE DISTRICT D-dr-GN-21-002622
Norma Ybarra

of TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

200TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RETURN

Came to hand on the 7th day of June 2021, at 7:03 p.m. - Citation, with Plaintiff's Original Petition, and Lawyer Referral Service form. Executed on the 10th day of June 2021, at 9:29 a.m., at 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201, within the County of Dallas, by delivering to the within named Defendant WHITE LODGING SERVICES CORPORATION by delivering to its Registered Agent, CT Corporation System, by delivering to its employee/agent, via U.S.P.S. Certified Mail, return receipt requested, a true copy of the above specified civil process, having first endorsed thereupon the came to hand date and time and the date mailed (return receipt affixed below.) My name is Tod E. Pendergrass. My date of birth is December 2, 1964. My address is 516 West Annie, Austin, Texas 78704, United States of America. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and not a party to or interested in the outcome of this case. I am certified to serve Texas civil court process by the JBCC under order of the Texas Supreme Court, Certification No. PSC1660, Exp. 11/30/21. I am personally acquainted with the facts stated herein and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This Return was executed in Travis County, Texas, U.S.A., on June 144, 2021.

Tod E. Pendergrass Re: Carlson/9032-2 Printed Name Service Fee \$ 88.00 Declarant (signature) SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3,4 ■ Print your name and address on the reverse ☐ Agent so that we can return the card to you. □ Addressee Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece Date of Deliv Sterns JUN 1 0 202 or on the front if space permits. HK// 1. Article Addressed to: is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: White Lodging Services Corporation c/o Its Registered Agent C.T. Corporation System 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75201-3136 Service Type ☐ Priority Mail Express® ☐ Adult Signature
☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Registered Mail® Registered Mail Restricted Pelivery Certified Mail Restricted Delivery 9590 9402 3028 7124 0460 70 sturn Receipt for Collect on Delivery Signature Confirmation^{†M} ☐ Collect on Delivery Restricted Deli 7020 1810 0000 1410 5066 ☐ Insured Mall
☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500) Insured Mail Restricted Delivery 9022-2 PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053 Domestic Return Receipt

DRLS 516 West Annie St. Austin, Texas 78704

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Monica Nguyen on behalf of William Rossick Bar No. 789597 mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com Envelope ID: 54409661 Status as of 6/15/2021 3:19 PM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Carlos Rodriguez	<u> </u>	crodriguez@carlsonattorneys.com	6/15/2021 8:24:47 AM	SENT
Monica Nguyen		mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com	6/15/2021 8:24:47 AM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Jacqueline Nelson-Burke

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
William Rossick		wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com	6/15/2021 8:24:47 AM	SENT

6/23/2021 4:43 PM

Velva L. Price District Clerk Travis County D-1-GN-21-002622 Nancy Rodriguez

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	85 85 88 86	
v.	- S S	OF TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F.K.A. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION AND, WHITE LODGING	3 63 63 63 63 3 63 63 63 63 63 3 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63	
CORPORATION,	§ §	
Defendants.	§	200 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT WHITE LODGING CORPORATION'S ORIGINAL ANSWER, JURY DEMAND AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COME NOW, White Lodging Corporation ("Defendant") and files this its Original Answer, Jury Demand and Request for Disclosure to Plaintiffs' Original Petition and would respectfully show:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained within Plaintiff's Original Petition, and demands strict proof thereon by a preponderance of the credible evidence in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas.

II. JURY DEMAND

Defendant demand a jury trial.

III. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Rule 194 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant requests that Plaintiff disclose, within thirty (30) days of service of this request, the information described in Rule 194.2(a)–(l).

IV. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant White Lodging Corporation prays that upon final trial and hearing hereof, Plaintiff recover nothing from Defendant, but Defendant go hence without delay and recover costs of court and other such further relief, both general and special, to which Defendant may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ David A. McFarland</u>

David A. McFarland State Bar No. 00791223 Timothy E. Headley State Bar No. 24007334

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS, & IRONS, LLP

700 North Pearl Street, 25th Floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200 Facsimile: (214) 871-8209

Email: dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com Email: theadley@thompsoncoe.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WHITE LODGING CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 23rd day of June, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record by electronic notice and/or certified mail return receipt requested:

William G. Rossick THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, P.C. 11606 North Interstate Highway 35 Austin, TX 78753

Phone: (512) 346-5688 Fax: (512) 719-4362

Email: wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ Tim Headley
David McFarland
Tim Headley

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Andrea Wilson on behalf of David McFarland Bar No. 00791223 awilson@thompsoncoe.com Envelope ID: 54721582 Status as of 6/24/2021 10:36 AM CST

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Carlos Rodriguez		crodriguez@carlsonattorneys.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
Monica Nguyen		mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
David McFarland	-	dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
Dora Kline		dkline@thompsoncoe.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
Andrea Wilson		awilson@thompsoncoe.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
Timothy EHeadley		theadley@thompsoncoe.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT
Victoria Borunda		vborunda@thompsoncoe.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Jacqueline Nelson-Burke

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
William Rossick	:	wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com	6/23/2021 4:43:56 PM	SENT

7/6/2021 6:02 PM

Velva L. Price **District Clerk Travis County** D-1-GN-21-002622 **Nancy Rodriguez**

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-21-002622

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	200 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	•
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION f.k.a.	§	
THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	§	
CORPORATION, and	§	
WHITE LODGING CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F.K.A. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION'S ORIGINAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

COMES NOW, TK Elevator Corporation, formerly known as ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation ("TKE" or "Defendant"), and files this, its Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition as follows:

I. **GENERAL DENIAL**

1. Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition and demands strict proof thereof.

II. **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

2. As permitted by Rule 94 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and if the same should be necessary, Defendant asserts the following by way of affirmative defenses as to the claims and causes of action which Plaintiffs have alleged in this suit:

PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS

a. Defendant asserts that the injuries, conditions and/or damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff herein were pre-existing and/or caused, in whole or in part, by prior or subsequent medical problems, injuries, conditions or other events involving or pertaining to the claimant for which this Defendant is not legally responsible.

SUBSEQUENT EVENT, CONDITION AND/OR INJURIES

b. Defendant asserts that Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any, in whole or in part were caused by or due to events, accidents, collisions, conditions or incidents, physical, medical and/or mental which occurred after and subsequent to the incident, accident, event or occurrence made the basis of this suit.

INTERVENING, SUPERSEDING OR NEW AND INDEPENDENT CAUSE

c. Defendant further asserts that, in the unlikely event that Plaintiff proves that she sustained injuries or incurred damages as they have alleged, that these damages or injuries were caused, in whole or in part, by the acts or omissions of persons or parties other than the Defendant and therefore were caused by events and persons or parties which constitute a preceding cause, new and independent cause, intervening cause and/or superseding cause of the incident made the basis of this suit and thus, Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages.

SOLE PROXIMATE CAUSE

d. Defendant further asserts, if same be necessary, that a proximate cause and/or producing cause, or, in the alternative, the sole proximate cause or the producing cause of Plaintiff's damages, if any, was the negligence of Plaintiff and/or the conduct of other persons or entities not controlled by or the responsibility of Defendant.

CHAPTER 33 PERCENTAGE REDUCTION, CREDIT, AND/OR OFFSET

- e. Defendant further asserts its rights pursuant to Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code to pursue a percentage reduction, monetary credit, offset or relief provided under the laws of Texas in the event of any settlement agreements between parties/persons/alleged tortfeasors involved in this suit, as well as responsible third parties. Defendant further asserts its rights to a consideration by the Court and Jury of the relative damages and conduct of the parties and all tortfeasors and accord Defendant the full benefit of Chapter 33 and its subparts. Defendant is entitled to a percentage reduction based upon a determination of the relative fault of all persons, entities and any party joint tortfeasors.
- f. Defendant asserts that it is entitled to an offset for any amount paid to or received by Plaintiff relating to each of her claims. At the final trial, Defendant requests that any such amount be offset from any judgment rendered.

FAILURE TO MITIGATE

g. Defendant asserts that Plaintiff failed to mitigate her damages as required by law. Defendant asserts that Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages, by among other things, failing to follow medical providers' advice and instructions regarding treatment and in failing to continue working and/or failing to accept employment after the alleged incident.

TOLLING OF PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

- h. Defendant affirmatively invokes the provisions of Chapter 304 of the Texas Finance Code, Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, and the Texas common law regarding limitations and restrictions on the recovery of prejudgment interest.
- i. Pleading further, Defendant further asserts that Plaintiff's claims for prejudgment interest are limited by sections 304.105 and 304.108 of the Texas Finance Code, which specifically

provides that prejudgment interest may be tolled during periods in which settlement offers may be accepted and periods of trial delay. *See* Tex. Fin. Code §§ 304.105 and 304.108.

CHAPTER 41- RECOVERY OF MEDICAL EXPENSES INCURRED

j. Defendant asserts Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code §41.0105 limiting Plaintiff's recovery of medical and/or health care expenses incurred to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of the claimants. Alternatively, Defendant is entitled to a credit against any medical expense damage award for amounts not charged to or actually incurred by the claimant, amounts written off or discounted, or in an amount equal to the difference between the total charges and the sum that was paid and accepted as payment in full or which will be paid and accepted as payment in full as the evidence may show. Defendant would show the Plaintiff's allegations for medical expenses are limited to those expenses actually paid or incurred by the Plaintiff.

NET LOSS

- k. Defendant pleads by way of affirmative defense that any evidence of lost earnings, loss of earning capacity, loss of contributions of a pecuniary value or loss of inheritance be submitted in the form of a net loss after reduction for income tax payments and unpaid tax liability pursuant to §18.0901 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code. Pleading further, Defendant requests that this Court instruct the jury as to whether any recovery is subject to federal or state income taxes.
- 1. Pleading further, Defendant asserts Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code §18.091 limiting evidence of Plaintiff's loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, loss of contributions of a pecuniary value, or loss of inheritance to the net loss after reduction for income tax payments or unpaid tax liability pursuant to any federal income tax law.

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

m. Defendant specifically denies that the conditions precedent to bringing this suit for negligence, strict liability, or otherwise have been performed, waived, or otherwise satisfied.

III. NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED

3. Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's production of documents and items in response to Requests for Production and other discovery requests in this case authenticates the documents for use against Plaintiff in this case. Defendant further hereby gives notice of intent to utilize any and all such items produced by Plaintiff or others in discovery in the trial of this matter.

IV. REQUIRED INITIAL DISCLOSURES

4. Plaintiff is required to provide the information and materials set forth in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2 on or before 30 days after the filing of the first answer or general appearance by a Defendant in this case.

V. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Defendant TK Elevator Corporation, formerly known as ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation, prays that Plaintiff take nothing by her suit, that Defendant be dismissed with its costs, and for such other and further relief to which Defendant may show itself justly entitled both at law and in equity.

Respectfully Submitted,

MAYER LLP

BY ANJRL

Alan L. Rucker SBN 00788330

arucker@mayerllp.com

Travis Cox

SBN 24096279

tcox@mayerllp.com

750 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 700

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 379-6900 Telephone

(214) 379-6939 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of July 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was forwarded via electronic service to all counsel of record:

VIA E-SERVICE

William G. Rossick
wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com
THE CARLSON LAW FIRM, P.C.
11606 North Interstate Highway 35
Austin, TX 78753
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

VIA E-SERVICE

David A. McFarland
dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com
Tim Headley
theadley@thompsoncoe.com
THOMPSON COE COUSINS & IRONS, LLP
700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
WHITE LODGING CORPORATION

SHUTCL

Alan, L. Rucker

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Candy Arnold on behalf of Alan Lawrence Rucker Bar No. 788330 carnold@mayerllp.com Envelope ID: 55089530 Status as of 7/7/2021 9:03 AM CST

Associated Case Party: Jacqueline Nelson-Burke

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
William Rossick		wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: TK Elevator Corporation

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Candy Langlinais		CLanglinais@mayerllp.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Alan Rucker		arucker@mayerllp.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Kim Bratcher		kbratcher@mayerlip.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Travis Cox		tcox@mayerllp.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Susan Lokash		slokash@mayerllp.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT

Case Contacts

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Carlos Rodriguez		crodriguez@carlsonattorneys.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Monica Nguyen		mnguyen@carlsonattorneys.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: White Lodging Services Corporation

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Timothy EHeadley		theadley@thompsoncoe.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
David McFarland		dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Victoria Borunda		vborunda@thompsoncoe.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Dora Kline		dkline@thompsoncoe.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT
Andrea Wilson		awilson@thompsoncoe.com	7/6/2021 6:02:10 PM	SENT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

JACQUELINE NELSON-BURKE, Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	8 8 8	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
TK ELEVATOR CORPORATION F.K.A.	§	
THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR	§	
CORPORATION AND, WHITE LODGING	Š	
CORPORATION,	§	
Defendant.	§ §	

DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff Jacqueline Nelson-Burke is represented by:

William G. Rossick Texas Bar No. 00789597 The Carlson Law Firm, P.C. 11606 North Interstate Highway 35 Austin, Texas 78753

Phone: (512) 346-5688 Fax: (512) 719-4362

Email: wrossick@carlsonattorneys.com

Defendant White Lodging Corporation is represented by:

David A. McFarland Texas State Bar No. 00791223 Tim Headley Texas State Bar No. 24007334 Thompson Coe Cousins & Irons, L.L.P. 700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200 Fax: (214) 871-8209

Email: dmcfarland@thompsoncoe.com
Email: theadley@thompsoncoe.com



Defendant TK Elevator Corporation F.K.A. Thyssenkrupp Elevator is represented by:

Alan L. Rucker Texas State Bar No. 00791223 Mayer LLP 740 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Telephone: (214) 379-6900

Fax: (214) 379-6939 arucker@mayerllp.com

Y ...